



Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 for Acts of Title IX Prohibited Conduct

These Procedures apply to reports of Sexual Harassment as defined in 34 CFR Part 106 (also referred to as "Title IX"). Other Policy 6.4 Prohibited Conduct, including sexual and sex/gender-based prohibited conduct not meeting the Title IX regulatory standard, is addressed under the Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Non-Title IX Prohibited Conduct).

Definitions of Prohibited Conduct can be found in Section 1.9. Information about jurisdiction of these Procedures (the University's authority to respond to reports) can be found in Section 2. These Procedures apply to all units of the University except for Weill Cornell Medicine, which will administer Policy 6.4 under Weill Cornell Medicine procedures.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DEFINITIONS.....	5
1.1	Employee	5
1.2	Report	5
1.3	Formal Complaint	5
1.4	Complainant and Respondent	5
1.5	Supportive Measures	6
1.6	Alternate Resolution.....	6
1.7	Hearing.....	7
1.8	Prohibited Conduct.....	7
1.8.1	Aiding Prohibited Conduct.....	7
1.8.2	Attempting to Commit Prohibited Conduct.....	7
1.8.3	Dating and Domestic Violence	7
1.8.4	Sexual Assault	8
1.8.5	Stalking.....	9
1.8.6	Title IX Sexual Harassment.....	10
2	JURISDICTION.....	11
3	TIME LIMIT TO FILE A FORMAL COMPLAINT.....	11
4	COMPUTATION OF DEADLINES	12
5	ROLES OF UNIVERSITY OFFICIALS	12
6	INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF A REPORT OF PROHIBITED CONDUCT	12
6.1	Complainant's Rights and Options.....	13
6.2	Respondent's Rights and Options.....	14
7	RESOLUTION OF REPORTS UNDER THESE PROCEDURES.....	15
7.1	Where the Complainant Seeks Resolution Under These Procedures.....	15
7.2	Where the Complainant Requests That No Formal Complaint Be Pursued Under These Procedures.....	15
7.2.1	Title IX Coordinator's Analysis re: University Complaint	16
7.2.2	University Determination That the Complainant's Request Can Be Honored (Title IX Coordinator does not file a University Complaint)	16
7.2.3	University Determination That the Complainant's Request(s) Cannot Be Honored	16
8	NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT OF	17
9	ADVISORS AND SUPPORT PERSONS	17
10	WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS	18
11	PRESERVATION OF INFORMATION AND TANGIBLE MATERIAL	18
12	OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE TRUTHFUL INFORMATION	19
13	DUTY TO COOPERATE	19



14	PRIVACY.....	19
15	PENDING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS	19
16	SUPPORTIVE MEASURES	20
16.1	Examples of Supportive Measures.....	20
16.2	Issuance of Supportive Measures.....	21
17	TEMPORARY SUSPENSION FROM EMPLOYMENT	21
17.1	Review of Temporary Suspension from Employment	22
17.2	Temporary Suspension of Academic Enrollment / Student Status	22
18	PATHWAYS FOR RESOLUTION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT	22
19	NOTICE TO PARTIES UPON THE ISSUANCE OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT	23
20	CONSOLIDATION OF REPORTS, FORMAL COMPLAINTS, AND HEARINGS.....	23
21	DISMISSAL OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT.....	24
22	INVESTIGATION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT	25
22.1	Overview of Investigation of a Formal Complaint	25
22.2	Investigation Time Frame and Time Limitations.....	26
22.3	Investigative Interview Process	27
22.4	Evidentiary Materials	27
22.5	Expert Testimony and Materials.....	28
22.6	Evidence to be Excluded or Redacted from the Record.....	28
22.7	Draft Investigative Record and the Parties' Review	29
22.8	Final Investigative Record and Investigative Report.....	30
22.9	Notice of Hearing	30
23	THE PARTIES' PARTICIPATION IN THE INVESTIGATION AND HEARING	31
23.1	Declining to Participate in the Investigation	31
23.2	Declining to Attend or Participate in the Hearing	31
24	ALTERNATE RESOLUTION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT.....	32
25	HEARINGS.....	33
25.1	Overview of Hearing Process.....	33
25.2	Presumption of Non-Responsibility, Burden of Proof, and Standard of Proof	34
25.3	Positions of Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair.....	34
25.4	Hearing Chair or Hearing Panel Member Conflict of Interest.....	35
25.5	Request to Reschedule Hearing.....	35
25.6	Newly Discovered Evidence	35
25.7	Pre-Hearing Submissions by the Parties.....	35
25.7.1	Pre-Hearing Submission – Written Opening Statements and Witness Requests.....	36
25.7.2	Impact/Mitigation Statement.....	37
25.8	Information on Respondent's Prior Discipline to Hearing Panel	37
25.9	Hearing Process and Format.....	37
25.9.1	Overview of Hearing Process and Format.....	37
25.9.2	Testimony and Cross-Examination	38
25.10	Closing Statements	39



	25.11 Deliberations on Findings of Responsibility.....	40
	25.12 Deliberations on Sanctions and Remedies.....	40
	25.13 Hearing Panel Decision.....	42
	25.14 Hearing Record	42
26	APPEAL OF A HEARING PANEL DECISION	43
27	OUTCOME LETTER UPON DISMISSAL OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT OR FINDING OF NON-RESPONSIBILITY.....	46



1 DEFINITIONS

1.1 Employee

For purposes of these Procedures, the term Employee includes: a faculty member (defined as a person who holds appointment to an academic title (under the Bylaws of Cornell University, Article XVII)) or a staff member (an employee of Cornell University who is not a faculty member). For reports and Formal Complaints against students arising out of performance of Cornell employment, these Procedures apply.

When a Respondent is both a Student and an Employee, the Title IX Coordinator will determine which procedures apply, and the Respondent may be subject to sanctions applicable to Students or Employees under Policy 6.4.

1.2 Report

A Report of Prohibited Conduct occurs when the Title IX Coordinator becomes aware of an alleged incident of Prohibited Conduct. Generally, a complete report should contain as much information about the incident as the reporter knows, including the date, time, and location of the prohibited conduct, the factual allegations, and the names of the individuals involved. (Contrast with “Formal Complaint,” Section 1.3).

1.3 Formal Complaint

A Formal Complaint is a written document signed by a Complainant alleging specific Prohibited Conduct by a Respondent and initiating the investigation and adjudication process under the Procedures. It can also be a similar written document signed by a University official on behalf of the University. (Contrast with “Report,” Section 1.2).

1.4 Complainant and Respondent

A person who has reportedly been impacted by Prohibited Conduct is called a Complainant. An Employee against whom such a report has been made is called a Respondent. Both the Complainant and Respondent are referred to as “party” or “parties” throughout these Procedures.

A Complainant who initiates a Formal Complaint under these Procedures may also be referred to as a “Party Complainant.” To be a Party Complainant under these Procedures, the Complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in Cornell’s education program or activity at the time of the filing of a Formal Complaint.

By contrast, a person who has reportedly been impacted by the Prohibited Conduct and either may not or does not wish to initiate a Formal Complaint is called a “Non- Party Complainant” when the



Title IX Coordinator initiates a Formal Complaint on the University's behalf (See Section 7.2.1). Throughout the investigation, adjudication, and appeal of the Formal Complaint, a Non-Party Complainant is treated as a witness - not a party - to the Formal Complaint, with limited exceptions. Non-Party Complainants may have an advisor and support person present at all meetings with the Cornell Office of Civil Rights (COCR). Additionally, Non-Party Complainants may submit impact/mitigation statements prior to the Hearing (See Section 25.7.2).

A Non-Party Complainant will not be permitted to review the investigative report or record, make pre- Hearing submissions, observe the Respondent or witnesses' testimony at the Hearing, pose follow-up questions or have their advisor conduct cross-examination, or appeal the determination of the Hearing Panel. The agreement of a Non-Party Complainant is not necessary to resolve a University Complaint via Alternate Resolution.

1.5 Supportive Measures

Supportive Measures are non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate and reasonably available, without fee or charge, to the Complainant or Respondent before, during or after the filing of a Formal Complaint or where no Formal Complaint has been filed. Supportive Measures are designed to accomplish a number of goals:

- to restore or preserve equal access to the University's educational program or activity;
- to support and protect the safety of the complainant, the respondent, the University's educational environment, and the University community;
- to promote the goals of Policy 6.4;
- to deter retaliation; and
- to preserve the integrity of the investigation and resolution process pursuant to these procedures.

Supportive Measures might be in the form of support for or restrictions upon one or both parties. More information about Supportive Measures can be found in Section 16.

1.6 Alternate Resolution

Once a Formal Complaint is signed, as an alternative to resolving it through the Hearing process, the parties may seek to resolve the Formal Complaint through a written agreement, called an Alternate Resolution. Alternate Resolution is available any time after a Formal Complaint is signed and before a Hearing commences.

An Alternate Resolution is an agreement between parties and the Title IX Coordinator regarding how to resolve the Formal Complaint, as well as an agreement that the Complainant may not seek to re-file the Formal Complaint once Alternate Resolution is finalized. An Alternate Resolution may contain sanctions and/or remedies. More information about Alternate Resolution can be found in Section 24.



1.7 Hearing

A Hearing is a method of adjudicating a Formal Complaint wherein a Hearing Panel (guided by a non-voting Hearing Chair) makes findings of responsibility and determinations regarding sanctions and remedies. The Hearing is intended to provide the parties with a fair opportunity to present relevant information to the Hearing Panel and enable the Hearing Panel to make informed decisions regarding responsibility and sanctions/remedies. More information about Hearings can be found in Section 25.

1.8 Prohibited Conduct¹

Under these Procedures, Prohibited Conduct means acts that are prohibited under Policy 6.4 as enumerated specifically in Sections 1.8.1-1.8.6, below.

1.8.1 Aiding Prohibited Conduct

A person aids Prohibited Conduct as defined in these Procedures if, with the intent to promote or facilitate such conduct, that person helps another person commit the Prohibited Conduct.²

1.8.2 Attempting to Commit Prohibited Conduct

A person attempts to commit prohibited conduct as defined in these Procedures if, with the intent to commit such conduct, that person engages in conduct directly tending toward completion of the Prohibited Conduct.³

1.8.3 Dating and Domestic Violence

Dating and Domestic Violence is any intentional act or threatened act of violence against the Complainant committed by (1) a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the complainant⁴; (2) a current or former spouse or intimate partner; (3) a person with whom the Complainant shares a child; or (4) anyone who is considered a respondent under the domestic or family violence laws of New York.

¹ The definition(s) of Prohibited Conduct in existence at the time of the alleged conduct will be used to evaluate the reported incident(s). However, the procedures in effect at the time a Formal Complaint is signed will be used to investigate and resolve the Formal Complaint, regardless of when the alleged conduct occurred.

² A Respondent may be found responsible for Aiding Prohibited Conduct, even if it is not specifically alleged in the Formal Complaint.

³ A Respondent may be found responsible for Attempting Prohibited Conduct, even if attempt is not specifically alleged in the Formal Complaint.

⁴ Consistent with the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), for reporting purposes under the Clery Act, the University will evaluate the existence of an intimate relationship based upon the complainant's statement, taking into consideration the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.



Dating and domestic violence also includes behavior that seeks to establish power and control over the Complainant by causing the Complainant to fear violence to themselves or another person. Such behavior may take the form of harassment, property damage, intimidation, and violence or a threat of violence to oneself (i.e., the respondent) or a third party. It may involve one act or an ongoing pattern of behavior.

1.8.4 Sexual Assault

Sexual assault is (1) sexual intercourse or (2) sexual contact (3) without affirmative consent.

1. Sexual intercourse: Sexual intercourse means any penetration, however slight, with any object or body part, as follows: (a) penetration of the vulva by a penis, object, tongue, or finger; (b) anal penetration by a penis, object, tongue, or finger; and (c) any contact, no matter how slight, between the mouth of one person and the genitalia of another person.
2. Sexual contact: Sexual contact means intentional sexual touching, however slight, as follows: (a) touching of the breasts, buttocks, genitals, or anus; (b) making another touch anyone or themselves with or on any of these body parts; and (c) touching another's body part (including strangulation) for the purpose of sexual gratification, arousal, humiliation, or degradation.
3. Affirmative consent: Affirmative consent is a knowing, voluntary, and mutual decision among all participants to engage in sexual activity. Consent can be given by words or actions, as long as those words or actions create clear permission regarding willingness to engage in sexual activity. Silence or lack of resistance, in and of itself, does not demonstrate consent. The definition of consent does not vary based upon a participant's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

The following are principles that apply to the above definition of affirmative consent:

- Consent to any sexual act or prior consensual sexual activity does not necessarily constitute consent to any other sexual act.
- Consent is required regardless of whether the person initiating the act is under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol.
- Consent may be withdrawn at any time.
- When affirmative consent is withdrawn or can no longer be given, sexual activity must stop.
- A person is incapable of affirmative consent when they are:
 - Less than seventeen years of age;
 - Mentally disabled (a person is mentally disabled when their normal cognitive, emotional, or behavioral functioning renders them incapable of appraising their conduct); or
 - Incapacitated.
- A person is incapacitated when they lack the ability to choose knowingly to participate in sexual activity.



- A person is incapacitated when they are unconscious, asleep, involuntarily restrained, physically helpless, or otherwise unable to provide consent.
- Someone who is under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or other intoxicants may be incapacitated and therefore unable to consent depending on the level of intoxication.
- Affirmative consent cannot be gained by taking advantage of the incapacitation of another. In evaluating responsibility in cases of alleged incapacitation, the fact finder asks two questions: (1) did the respondent know that the complainant was incapacitated? If not, (2) should a sober, reasonable person in the respondent's situation have known that the complainant was incapacitated? If the answer to either of these questions is "yes," affirmative consent was absent.
- If the fact finder determines based on a preponderance of the evidence that both parties were incapacitated, the person who initiated the sexual activity alleged to be nonconsensual due to incapacity is at fault.
- Consent cannot be given when it is the result of any coercion, intimidation, force, or threat of harm.
 - Examples of coercion and intimidation include using physically or emotionally manipulative conduct against the complainant or expressly or implicitly threatening the complainant or a third party with negative actions that would compel or induce a reasonable person in the complainant's situation to engage in sexual activity at issue. Examples of sexual coercion include statements such as "I will ruin your reputation," or "I will tell everyone," Or "your career (or education) at Cornell will be over" or "I will post an image of you naked."
 - Examples of force or a threat of harm include using physical force or a threat, express or implied, that would place a reasonable person in the complainant's situation in fear of physical harm to, or kidnapping of, themselves or another person.

Sexual Assault can also be nonforcible sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law.

1.8.5 Stalking

Stalking is engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to (a) fear for their safety or the safety of others or (b) suffer substantial emotional distress.

- Course of conduct means two or more acts, including but not limited to, acts in which the stalker directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the complainant.
- Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may, but does not necessarily, require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.



1.8.6 Title IX Sexual Harassment⁵

Title IX Sexual Harassment is unwelcome conduct on the basis of sex that is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it creates a hostile environment by effectively denying a person equal access to the University's education programs or activities.

Examples

The following are examples of behaviors which may constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment:

- Discussing someone else's or one's own sexual fantasies, preferences or history;
- Unwelcome touching of a person's body, including massaging and kissing;
- Repeatedly asking for a date from a person who has communicated they are not interested;
- and/or creating a list that ranks a person's attractiveness or sexuality.

This list is not meant to be exhaustive, and whether these actions constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment may depend on a number of factors around the context of the conduct.

Factors to Determine Whether a Hostile Environment Exists for Title IX Sexual Harassment

In evaluating whether a hostile environment exists, the University will consider the totality of known circumstances, including, but not limited to:

- The frequency, nature, and severity of the conduct;
- Whether submission to or rejection of such conduct was made, either explicitly or implicitly, a term or condition of a person's participation in any University programs or activities;
- Whether the conduct was physically threatening;
- The effect of the conduct on the complainant's mental or emotional state;
- Whether the conduct was directed at more than one person;
- Whether the conduct arose in the context of other harassing conduct;
- Whether there is a power differential between the parties; and
- Whether the conduct implicates concerns related to academic freedom or protected speech.

Typically, a single verbal comment will not be considered "severe."

Title IX Sexual Harassment also includes "quid pro quo" sexual harassment, when an Employee conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the University on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct. Unwelcome sexual conduct can include an attempt or solicitation of an unwelcome "prohibited relationship" as defined in Cornell Policy 6.3, Consensual Relationships.

⁵ Contrast with Non-Title IX Sexual Harassment in the Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Non-Title IX Prohibited Conduct)

Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Title IX Prohibited Conduct)

Effective Date: June 1, 2019

Last Updated: August 3, 2026



2 JURISDICTION

These Procedures apply to any report against an Employee where Title IX applies. For Title IX to apply to a Report, all of the following requirements must be met:

- The alleged Prohibited Conduct constitutes Dating and Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Stalking⁶, or Title IX Sexual Harassment; and
- The alleged Prohibited Conduct occurred in the University's education program or activity⁷; and
- The alleged Prohibited Conduct occurred against a Complainant in the United States; and
- At the time of filing the Formal Complaint, the Complainant is participating in or attempting to participate in the University's education program or activity.

Under Policy 6.4, the University may exercise jurisdiction over Reports of Prohibited Conduct to which Title IX does not apply pursuant to the Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Non-Title IX Prohibited Conduct).

A jurisdictional challenge shall be deemed waived if not raised by the appeal stage under these Procedures.

If the Respondent is not an Employee at the time of the Formal Complaint, and the University is, thus, unable to pursue resolution, it will still seek to meet its Title IX obligations by providing support for the Complainant and, as feasible, taking appropriate steps to end any prohibited conduct, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects.

3 TIME LIMIT TO FILE A FORMAL COMPLAINT

To promote timely and effective review, the University strongly encourages Complainants and others with knowledge of possible violations of this policy to report as soon as possible. A delay in reporting may affect the University's ability to gather relevant and reliable information, contact witnesses, investigate thoroughly, and respond meaningfully. It may also affect the University's ability to take disciplinary action against an Employee who has engaged in Prohibited Conduct.

While prompt reporting is encouraged, the University will consider as timely any Formal Complaint that is filed under these procedures as long as the Complainant is participating or attempting to participate in Cornell's programs or activities at the time the Formal Complaint is filed, and so long as the Respondent is an Employee of the university, as defined by these procedures.

⁶ Title IX applies to Stalking on the basis of sex only.

⁷ All actions that involve the use of the University coming and network resources from a remote location, including email accounts, are deemed to have occurred on campus.



If the Respondent is not an Employee at the time of the Formal Complaint, and the University is, thus, unable to pursue resolution, it will still seek to meet its Title IX obligations by providing support for the Complainant and, as feasible, taking appropriate steps to end any prohibited conduct, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects.

4 COMPUTATION OF DEADLINES

In computing any time period specified in these procedures, the day of the event or request that initiates the period will be excluded.

5 ROLES OF UNIVERSITY OFFICIALS

Throughout these Procedures, various University officials, such as the Title IX Coordinator, are assigned responsibility for performing specific functions. Named officials are authorized to delegate responsibility to other appropriate University officials and non-University consultants except where such delegation contravenes University policy. Additionally, named officials and their designees may consult with appropriate University officials, the Office of General Counsel, and subject-matter experts.

6 INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF A REPORT OF PROHIBITED CONDUCT

When the University has actual knowledge of alleged Prohibited Conduct under these Procedures, it will respond promptly and reasonably in light of the known circumstances. Upon receipt of a Report, the Title IX Coordinator will make an initial assessment of the known information. When possible, this assessment will include: (1) gathering information to make policy and procedural determinations about how a Report may be resolved; and (2) taking appropriate steps to address safety concerns or other risks indicated by the Report, including whether the report triggers any Clery Act obligations.

The parties will receive detailed information about their rights and options under Policy 6.4 and applicable laws. The Title IX Coordinator will also extend all parties an opportunity to meet promptly so the party can learn more about their rights and options.

The University will treat all parties equitably and will follow the grievance process set forth in these Procedures before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions⁸ against a Respondent.

⁸ Supportive Measures and Temporary Suspension are not disciplinary sanctions.

Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Title IX Prohibited Conduct)

Effective Date: June 1, 2019

Last Updated: August 3, 2026



6.1 Complainant's Rights and Options

In the initial assessment and meeting and/or correspondence with the Complainant, the Title IX Coordinator will:

- Assess the Complainant's safety and well-being and offer the University's support and assistance through available resources;
- Inform the Complainant that the Title IX Coordinator will maintain their privacy to the greatest extent possible and disclose information only as necessary pursuant to these Procedures;
- Inform the Complainant of their right to seek medical treatment (including a sexual assault forensic examination) and explain the importance of obtaining evidence and preserving forensic and other evidence;
- Inform the Complainant of their right to contact law enforcement, be assisted by University officials in contacting law enforcement, or decline to contact law enforcement, and their right to seek an Order of Protection⁹;
- Inform the Complainant that the criminal justice system uses different standards of proof and evidence than these Procedures and that any questions about whether the reported prohibited conduct constitutes a penal law violation should be addressed by law enforcement;
- Inform the Complainant about University and community resources, including counseling, health, and mental health services; victim advocacy; procedural advocacy; legal resources; visa and immigration assistance; student financial aid; and other resources both on campus and in the community, and how to request or contact such resources;
- Inform the Complainant of the right to seek appropriate and available Supportive Measures and how to request such measures
 - Consider the Complainant's wishes with respect to Supportive Measures with or without the filing of a Formal Complaint. Regardless of whether the Complainant chooses to file or participate in a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will assist the complainant with reasonable and available Supportive Measures (See "Supportive Measures," Section 16).
- Ensure the Complainant is aware of their right to an advisor;
- Inform the Complainant of the right to file a Formal Complaint and seek resolution by

⁹ Orders of Protection are court orders and, thus, the University is not able to issue them. However, the Cornell University Police Department (CUPD) (607-255-1111) will assist both the respondent and the complainant (or any member of the Cornell community impacted by an Order of Protection), by helping the parties understand the availability of an order, the potential content and parameters of an order, and the consequences for violating an order.

The Tompkins County Advocacy Center (607-277-5000) is a confidential resource in Ithaca that can also assist in obtaining a Family Court Order of Protection for complainants reporting prohibited conduct in the context of a dating or domestic relationship.

The CUPD will also assist a protected party in effecting arrest of an individual violating an Order of Protection, if doing so is within the jurisdiction of CUPD.



Hearing or Alternate Resolution under these Procedures; provide the Complainant with an overview of these Procedures, including Supportive Measures; and inform the Complainant of the right to withdraw a Formal Complaint at any time prior to resolution and to decline or discontinue resolution under these Procedures at any time, including that declining to participate in an investigation and/or Hearing under these Procedures may limit the University's ability to investigate meaningfully and respond to a report of Prohibited Conduct;

- As possible and appropriate, ascertain the Complainant's preference for pursuing a Formal Complaint, Supportive Measures, or no action under these Procedures, and discuss with the Complainant any concerns or barriers to participating in any investigation and resolution process under these Procedures;
- Explain that the University prohibits Retaliation, that Retaliation constitutes Prohibited Conduct under Policy 6.4, and that the University will take appropriate action in response to any act of Retaliation; and
- If the Complainant is a student, inform them of their rights afforded under the Student Bill of Rights & Your Rights Statement.

Generally, a Complainant will receive this information in writing if and when their identity becomes known to the Title IX Coordinator.

6.2 Respondent's Rights and Options

In the initial meeting and/or correspondence with a respondent, the Title IX Coordinator will:

- Assess the Respondent's safety and well-being and offer the University's support and assistance through available resources;
- Inform the Respondent that the Title IX Coordinator will maintain their privacy to the greatest extent possible and disclose information only as necessary pursuant to these Procedures;
- Inform the Respondent about University and community resources, including counseling, health, and mental health services; procedural advocacy; legal resources; visa and immigration assistance; student financial aid; and other resources both on campus and in the community, and how to request or contact such resources;
- Inform the Respondent of the right to seek appropriate and available Supportive Measures and how to request such measures (See "Supportive Measures," Section 16);
- Ensure the Respondent is aware of their right to an advisor;
- Provide the Respondent with an overview of these Procedures, and inform the Respondent of the right to decline or discontinue participation at any time, including that declining to participate in an investigation and/or Hearing under these Procedures may limit the University's ability to investigate meaningfully;
- As possible and appropriate, discuss with the Respondent any concerns or barriers to participating in any investigation and resolution process under these Procedures; and
- Explain that the University prohibits Retaliation, that Retaliation constitutes Prohibited



Conduct under Policy 6.4, and that the University will take appropriate action in response to any act of Retaliation.

Generally, a Respondent will receive this information in writing if a Formal Complaint is filed against them or if a Supportive Measure that impacts them is issued.

7 RESOLUTION OF REPORTS UNDER THESE PROCEDURES

The University's ability to resolve a report of Prohibited Conduct under these Procedures will depend on a number of factors including whether the Complainant requests a Formal Complaint or otherwise seeks resolution, the Complainant's desired outcome, and whether the Respondent is subject to these Procedures.

7.1 Where the Complainant Seeks Resolution Under These Procedures

In a case where the Complainant reports Prohibited Conduct and requests resolution under these Procedures, the Title IX Coordinator will promptly conduct an initial assessment and, as appropriate, initiate an investigation. The investigation begins with the Complainant making a signed, written Formal Complaint.

A Complainant who is not a Cornell Student or Employee may not file a Formal Complaint as a Party Complainant.

7.2 Where the Complainant Requests That No Formal Complaint Be Pursued Under These Procedures

The University strongly supports the Complainant's decision not to pursue a Formal Complaint under these Procedures. Where the Complainant is not able or does not wish to pursue a Formal Complaint, the University will honor the Complainant's wishes unless doing so would not adequately mitigate the risk of harm to the Complainant or other members of the University community or doing so impacts the University's ability to provide a safe and non-discriminatory environment for all members of the University community, including the Complainant.

The University will take reasonable and necessary action to prevent discrimination and harassment; to take appropriate action when it learns of conduct that might violate this policy; and to respond promptly and thoroughly to any such information, regardless of whether a Formal Complaint is filed.



7.2.1 Title IX Coordinator's Analysis re: University Complaint

The Title IX Coordinator will consider the following factors, among others, when determining whether to honor the Complainant's wish that no resolution be pursued under these Procedures:

1. Whether the Respondent has a history of violent behavior or is a repeat offender;
2. Whether the incident represents escalation in unlawful conduct by the Respondent from previously noted behavior;
3. The increased risk that the Respondent will commit additional acts of violence;
4. Whether the Respondent used a weapon or force;
5. Whether the Complainant is a minor;
6. Whether the University possesses other means to obtain evidence such as security footage;
7. Whether available information reveals a pattern of perpetration at a given location or by a particular group; and
8. Whether the report alleges egregious misconduct that interferes with the University's ability to provide a safe and non-discriminatory environment.

The Title IX Coordinator may also take proactive steps, such as training or awareness efforts, to address sexual and related misconduct in a general way that does not identify the Complainant.

7.2.2 University Determination That the Complainant's Request Can Be Honored (Title IX Coordinator does not file a University Complaint)

Where the Title IX Coordinator determines that the University can honor the Complainant's request that no Formal Complaint be pursued under these Procedures, the University may nevertheless take other appropriate steps designed to eliminate the reported Prohibited Conduct, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects on the Complainant and the University community. Those steps may include offering the Complainant reasonable and available Supportive Measures, conducting targeted prevention and awareness training, and/or providing or imposing other remedies tailored to the circumstances.

The Complainant may later choose to pursue a Formal Complaint, subject to whether the University has already investigated and adjudicated a University Complaint.

Upon receipt of new or additional information, the Title IX Coordinator may reconsider the Complainant's request that no Formal Complaint be pursued under these procedures and initiate a University Complaint.

7.2.3 University Determination That the Complainant's Request(s) Cannot Be Honored

Where the Title IX Coordinator determines that the University cannot honor the Complainant's



request that no Formal Complaint be pursued under these Procedures, the Title IX Coordinator will promptly initiate the resolution process under these Procedures by making a signed, written Formal Complaint on behalf of the University.

The Title IX Coordinator will notify the Complainant that the University intends to proceed with a Formal Complaint and will take immediate action as necessary to protect and assist the Complainant.

The Title IX Coordinator will make reasonable efforts to protect the Complainant's privacy. However, typically, the Complainant's identity would have to be disclosed as part of the University's investigation.

The Complainant is not required to participate in any proceedings that follow. However, if the Complainant declines to participate in an investigation and/or the adjudicative process under these procedures, the University's ability to investigate meaningfully and respond to a report of Prohibited Conduct may be limited.

8 NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT OF UNIVERSITY ACTIONS

The Title IX Coordinator will promptly inform the Complainant of any actions undertaken by the University that directly impact the Complainant, including the filing of a Formal Complaint and the issuance of any Supportive Measures.

The Title IX Coordinator will promptly inform the Respondent of any actions undertaken by the University that directly impact the Respondent, including the filing of a Formal Complaint or the imposition of Supportive Measures that would directly impact the Respondent. The Respondent will have the opportunity to respond to such action(s).

9 ADVISORS AND SUPPORT PERSONS

At all stages under these Procedures, both the Complainant and Respondent may use an advisor provided by the University to assist and advise the party. As an alternative to utilizing a University-provided advisor, a party has the right to select and consult with an advisor of their own choosing.

The parties also have the right to a support person of their choice to provide emotional support to the party. Advisors and support persons may be anyone, including an attorney, except that a University-provided advisor cannot serve as a support person.

Advisor or support person unavailability cannot cause undue delay. A party may be required to choose a different advisor if their current advisor is not readily and consistently available to



participate in the process. Extensions will not be granted on the basis that an advisor is unavailable for long periods of time due to other professional commitments.

Advisors and support persons may accompany the party to all meetings, such as investigative interviews, and proceedings, and are not to interfere with meetings or proceedings. With limited exception for cross-examination, advisors and support persons may not speak on the party's behalf at any time. (See Section 25).

If a party has not identified an advisor at least 10 business days prior to the Hearing, the University will provide an advisor without fee or charge to that party for the purpose of conducting cross-examination of the party's behalf.

By accepting the role of advisor or support person all advisors and support agree to comply with the rules and processes set forth in Policy 6.4 and these Procedures, including rules regarding process privacy.

10 WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

For all written submissions permitted by these Procedures, other than the written objections and requests specifically permitted during hearings, the documents must be submitted by the parties. Written submissions from an advisor, support person, or other individual made on behalf of a party, other than the written objections and requests specifically permitted during hearings, will not be included in the investigative or hearing records.

Where a form is available at titleix.cornell.edu/forms for a written submission, the party must use the form for the submission. Where required by these Procedures, the party must sign the written submission.

11 PRESERVATION OF INFORMATION AND TANGIBLE MATERIAL

Preservation of information and tangible material relating to alleged Prohibited Conduct is essential for investigations under these Procedures as well as law enforcement investigations. Therefore, everyone involved in these Procedures, whether as the Complainant, the Respondent, or a witness, is encouraged to preserve all information and tangible material relating to the alleged Prohibited Conduct. Examples of evidence include electronic communications (e.g., email and text messages), photographs, clothing, and medical information.

In the case of medical information, prompt examinations can be crucial to the collection of forensic or other medical evidence. Individuals who believe they have experienced sexual assault or other forms of Prohibited Conduct are strongly encouraged to seek immediate medical attention.



12 OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE TRUTHFUL INFORMATION

At all stages of the process, all University community members are expected to provide truthful information. An employee who does not provide truthful information may be subject to discipline independent of the proceedings under this policy. This provision does not apply to reports made or information provided in good faith, even if the facts alleged are not later substantiated.

13 DUTY TO COOPERATE

All members of the University community are expected to cooperate and participate in inquiries, investigations, and resolutions of Reports and Formal Complaints of Prohibited Conduct under these Procedures. However, the non-cooperation or non-participation of a party or witness cannot be the basis of adverse action or inference.

14 PRIVACY

Participants in this process are prohibited from distributing documents obtained from COCR except for the purposes of consulting with an advisor; seeking support and advice from family, clergy, health professionals, and others playing a similar role; or as part of a civil, criminal, or administrative legal proceeding.

The Title IX Coordinator may issue an order restricting the parties from disclosing specific information. Students who violate such an order of a university official will be subject to disciplinary actions under the Student Code of Conduct; Employees who violate such an order will be referred to their local human resources for disciplinary action. Additionally, sharing private information in a manner that harms another individual may constitute Retaliation.

Parties may choose whether to disclose or discuss with others the outcome of a Report or Formal Complaint under this policy.

For additional information, please see [Policy 6.4](#), Section 4.3 (Privacy).

15 PENDING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

In addition to the University investigating potential Policy 6.4 allegations, Cornell University Police or other law enforcement may investigate alleged criminal matters. In cases where there is a criminal investigation, the University process will run concurrently with such investigation. The University may grant temporary delays reasonably requested by law enforcement for evidence gathering.



16 SUPPORTIVE MEASURES

Supportive Measures may be issued based upon a party's request or at the University's own initiative. In all instances, the University will, at its discretion, determine whether any given Supportive Measure is reasonable and appropriate.

Supportive Measures are available regardless of whether a Formal Complaint has been filed under these Procedures and regardless of whether the Complainant chooses to report the Prohibited Conduct to law enforcement.

Supportive Measures become effective when notice of the Supportive Measures is provided.

Where a Formal Complaint has been filed, Supportive Measures will typically remain in place pending the resolution of the Formal Complaint.

Restrictive Supportive Measures will be calibrated to address a perceived risk and tailored to minimize to the extent possible the impact on the affected party or parties, whose underlying case of Prohibited Conduct has not yet been adjudicated on the merits.

Violations of Supportive Measures that are orders by a University official may constitute Prohibited Conduct under the Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Non-Title IX Prohibited Conduct).

16.1 Examples of Supportive Measures

Supportive Measures include but are not limited to:

- assistance obtaining access to counseling, advocacy, or medical services;
- assistance obtaining access to academic support and requesting academic accommodations;
- assistance obtaining an order of protection;
- changes in class schedules;
- assistance requesting changes in work schedules, job assignments, or other work accommodations;
- changes in campus housing;
- safety escorts;
- individualized prevention and awareness training;
- facilitated agreements to delete consensually-obtained nude or sensitive images;
- restrictive orders; and
- "No-contact" orders (curtailing or prohibiting contact or communications between or among individuals).



16.2 Issuance of Supportive Measures

The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for issuing Supportive Measures. In each case, the Title IX Coordinator may designate an appropriate individual in the respondent's college or unit to be responsible for implementing the Supportive Measures.

Supportive Measures will be designed in a fair manner and narrowly tailored to minimize to the extent possible any restrictions on those affected.

In issuing Supportive Measures, the Title IX Coordinator will make reasonable efforts to communicate with any impacted party to address safety and emotional and physical well-being concerns.

Where no Formal Complaint has been filed and a Supportive Measure impacts the Respondent, the Respondent will be provided with written notice of the report, which includes, as known, the date, time, and location of the alleged Prohibited Conduct and the underlying factual allegations, including the identity of the Complainant. Therefore, certain Supportive Measures may not be available if the Complainant wishes to maintain anonymity.

Supportive Measures are not, in and of themselves, permanent resolutions under these procedures. Rather, they are actions taken by the University based on information known at the time that the Supportive Measures are issued. Accordingly, the Title IX Coordinator has the discretion to issue, modify, or remove any Supportive Measure at any time additional information is gathered or circumstances change.

Both parties may at any time request that the Title IX Coordinator issue, modify, or remove Supportive Measures based upon a change in circumstance or new information that would affect their necessity.

17 TEMPORARY SUSPENSION FROM EMPLOYMENT

Once a Formal Complaint has been filed, in extraordinary circumstances, where immediate action is necessary to protect the Complainant or any other individual, the Provost or Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer (VPCHRO), has the discretionary authority to suspend the Respondent pending resolution of the underlying case.

In determining whether a Temporary Suspension is appropriate, the Provost or Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer must determine that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of the complainant or any other individual arising from the allegations underlying the Formal Complaint justifies such emergency removal of the respondent. The following factors, among others, should be considered:



- whether the respondent has a history of violent behavior or is a repeat offender;
- whether the incident represents escalation in unlawful conduct by the respondent from previously noted behavior;
- whether there are facts indicating a risk that the respondent will commit additional acts of violence;
- whether the respondent allegedly used a weapon or force; and
- whether the report alleges egregious misconduct that interferes with the University's ability to provide a safe and non-discriminatory environment.

Temporary Suspension from employment of a faculty member must be done in accord with the process under the Trustee Dismissal/Suspension Policy and its protocol for issuing emergency suspensions unless or until that policy is amended to reflect the standard and process used by these procedures.

Suspension from employment may include the withdrawal of any or all University privileges and services, including utilization of University premises and facilities, class attendance, participation in examinations, as determined by the Provost or VPCHRO. See also, University Policy 6.11.3, Employee Discipline.

Violations of Temporary Suspensions that are orders by a University official may constitute prohibited conduct under the Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Non-Title IX Prohibited Conduct).

17.1 Review of Temporary Suspension from Employment

The Respondent may at any time request that the Provost or VPCHRO modify or lift a Temporary Suspension from employment based upon a change in circumstance or new information that would affect the necessity of a Temporary Suspension from employment.

17.2 Temporary Suspension of Academic Enrollment / Student Status

In addition to a temporary suspension from employment, the University may impose a temporary suspension from Academic Enrollment/Student Status in accord with the mechanism set forth in Section 17 of the "Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Students Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 for Acts of Sexual and Related Misconduct."

18 PATHWAYS FOR RESOLUTION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

A Formal Complaint may be resolved by the University's dismissal of the Formal Complaint,

Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Title IX Prohibited Conduct)

Effective Date: June 1, 2019

Last Updated: August 3, 2026



Alternate Resolution, Hearing, or by the Complainant's withdrawal of the Formal Complaint. General timeframes for different stages formal complaint resolution are provided below. Time periods may be subject to reasonable extension on a case-by-case basis for good cause. When there is a delay in these timeframes, the investigator or Title IX Coordinator will provide written notice to the parties of the delay, the cause of the delay, and an estimate of the anticipated additional time that will be needed as a result of the delay.

19 NOTICE TO PARTIES UPON THE ISSUANCE OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

At the issuance of a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will notify the Complainant and the Respondent, in writing, of the commencement of an investigation and provide both parties with the Formal Complaint, Policy 6.4, and these procedures. Such notice will:

- identify the Complainant and the Respondent;
- specify the alleged Prohibited Conduct and its date, time, and location, to the extent known;
- specify the basis for jurisdiction over the Formal Complaint;
- specify any sanctions that may be imposed (including the University's transcript notation policy if the Respondent is also a Student);
- identify the investigator;
- include information about the parties' respective rights and obligations under Policy 6.4 and these Procedures;
- inform the parties of their right to seek the assistance of an advisor provided by the University or an advisor external to the university, and a support person for emotional support, both of whom may accompany the party to meetings and proceedings;
- inform the parties of the range of available resources, including mental health and academic support resources; and
- explain the prohibition against Retaliation.

20 CONSOLIDATION OF REPORTS, FORMAL COMPLAINTS, AND HEARINGS

Generally, at the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator, multiple Reports or Formal Complaints under these procedures that are factually related will be joined in one investigation. Formal Complaints joined in one investigation may be joined in one Hearing or resolved in separate Hearings, as discussed below.

At the discretion of the Hearing Chair, in consultation with the investigator, multiple Formal Complaints may be joined in one Hearing if doing so is likely to result in reliable and more efficient outcomes without causing prejudice to the parties or confusion for the fact finders. In determining whether to consolidate, the Hearing Chair will provide the parties with an opportunity to explain their preferences for consolidated or severed hearings.

Procedures for Resolution of Reports Against Employees Under Cornell University Policy 6.4 (Title IX Prohibited Conduct)

Effective Date: June 1, 2019

Last Updated: August 3, 2026



Multiple Formal Complaints and investigations may be joined whether they involve single or multiple complainants or respondents. In all hearings involving multiple respondents, the Hearing Panel will consider singly the sanctions and remedies appropriate for each respondent.

21 DISMISSAL OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

At any time after a Formal Complaint has been signed, the Title IX Coordinator may determine that there is not sufficient evidence to advance the Formal Complaint (or some of the allegations therein) to a Hearing and may dismiss all or part of the Formal Complaint. This may occur under the following circumstances:

- there is no jurisdiction under these Procedures; or
- the facts set forth in the Formal Complaint do not constitute Prohibited Conduct under these Procedures;
- the Complainant fails or refuses to cooperate with the investigation such that the investigator is unable to investigate despite reasonable measures, including where the Complainant cannot be located, the Complainant fails or refuses to be available for interviews or meetings, or the Complainant fails to provide necessary information;
- the Complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that they would like to withdraw the Formal Complaint or any allegations therein, prior to resolution; or
- the Respondent is no longer enrolled in the University;
- specific circumstances prevent the University from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the Formal Complaint or allegations therein; or
- the Title IX Coordinator concludes that, when viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the Complainant, there is not sufficient evidence to advance the Formal Complaint to a hearing.

Upon any dismissal, the Title IX Coordinator will promptly send written notice of the dismissal and the rationale for doing so simultaneously to the parties.

The Complainant will be given an opportunity to seek review by a Hearing Panel. The Complainant must commence the review within five (5) business days by submitting a letter explaining why they think the dismissal is clearly erroneous and including any written evidence in support of their position. The materials should be submitted to the Title IX Coordinator, who will forward them to the Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair (who provides guidance to the Hearing Panel but does not have a vote in a decision).

The Hearing Chair in consultation with the Hearing Panel will establish a reasonable process and timeline for handling the matter. The Title IX Coordinator will also inform the Respondent that a request for review has been filed and will provide a copy of the Complainant's letter and any supporting materials to the Respondent. The Respondent will be given an opportunity to respond to the Complainant's request for review.



The Hearing Panel will conduct its review based upon a “clearly erroneous” standard, meaning that the Hearing Panel will not disturb the Title IX Coordinator’s decision by substituting its own judgment for the judgment of the Title IX Coordinator unless the Hearing Panel determines that the dismissal was clearly in error.

If the Hearing Panel determines that the dismissal was clearly erroneous, the Formal Complaint will be reinstated and resolved according to these procedures.

If the Hearing Panel determines that the dismissal was not clearly erroneous, it will affirm the dismissal. The Hearing Panel will provide a written decision to the parties and the Title IX Coordinator.

The decision of the Hearing Panel is final; there is no right to appeal.

22 INVESTIGATION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

22.1 Overview of Investigation of a Formal Complaint

The investigation is designed to be timely, thorough, and impartial and to provide for a fair and reliable gathering of the facts. All individuals involved in the investigation, including the Complainant, the Respondent, and any third-party witnesses, will be treated with sensitivity and respect.

When the respondent is a member of the faculty, the Title IX Coordinator may consult with the Dean of Faculty to designate a faculty member to serve as a co-investigator. When the respondent is a staff member, the Title IX Coordinator may consult with the Vice President of Human Resources to designate a staff member to serve as a co-investigator. These co-investigators will be selected from pools of appropriately trained faculty and staff.

The investigation will generally include individual interviews of the Complainant, the Respondent, and relevant witnesses. Upon completion of the investigation, the investigator will prepare a final Investigative Record and an Investigative Report. The Investigative Record is a compilation of statements by the parties and witnesses as well as other evidence gathered by the investigator. The Investigative Report will explain the scope of the investigation and summarize the information gathered. The investigator does not make any findings or recommendations as to responsibility. If there is a Hearing, the final Investigative Record and Report become part of the Hearing Record.

The Complainant and the Respondent will have an equal opportunity to participate in the investigation, including an equal opportunity to be heard, submit evidence, and suggest witnesses who may have relevant information. Specifically, during the investigation, each party will have the opportunity to:



- be interviewed by the investigator;
- review their own interview statements (prior to the statements being distributed to the other party);
- provide evidence to the investigator;
- request that the investigator reasonably attempt to obtain evidence the party themselves cannot obtain;
- suggest witnesses to be interviewed by the investigator;
- propose questions to be asked of witness and the other party; and
- review a draft Investigative Record and comment on it, in writing, before the investigator finalizes the record and prepares an investigative report.

At the Hearing, the Hearing Panel will rely upon the final investigative record as well as any additional statements and information provided to the Hearing Panel pursuant to the procedures set forth below.

22.2 Investigation Time Frame and Time Limitations

The investigation will be completed as expeditiously as possible, generally within ninety (90) business days of the issuance of the Formal Complaint. This time period is measured from the date the Respondent receives the Notice of Formal Complaint and ends when the draft Investigative Record is distributed to the parties.

Throughout the investigation, both parties will receive reasonable written notice of any meetings at which their attendance is requested. The parties will be updated at regular intervals on the status of the investigation.

The investigator will establish and apply equitably to the parties reasonable time limits for the various stages of the investigation, including meetings and deadlines for any submissions or responses, and the parties must adhere to these time limits.

The parties may request reasonable extensions that will be granted at the discretion of the investigator. Extensions granted to one party will be granted to the other party. Delays simply to prolong the process will not be permitted and failure to make submissions by a deadline will result in a forfeiture of the right to do so later.

Delays simply to prolong the process will not be permitted, and failure to meet deadlines will result in forfeiture of a party's ability to participate in that aspect of the investigation.

If a party declines or fails to participate in an interview, provide evidence, or suggest witnesses before the end of the investigation, they will have waived their right to do so upon the issuance of the final Investigative Record and Report.



22.3 Investigative Interview Process

The investigator will gather information from the Complainant, the Respondent, and other individuals who have relevant information.

The parties will have the opportunity to request in writing witnesses they would like the investigator to interview and questions and topics they would like the investigator to ask witnesses, themselves, and the other party.

The investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance of any proffered witnesses, and, accordingly, the investigator will determine which witnesses to interview.

In general, the investigator will not consider relevant any witnesses who are offered solely for the purpose of providing evidence of a party's character.

Investigative interviews with the parties and any witnesses will be audio recorded. At the start of an interview session, the investigator will inform an interviewee that the session is being audio recorded. Parties and witnesses will receive copies of audio recordings of their own interviews.

The parties will be provided with transcripts, but not audio recordings, of all witness and other party interviews. Upon receiving the transcripts, the parties may listen to audio recordings of interviews of the other party and any witnesses during business hours at a secure and private campus location, with access facilitated by the Title IX Coordinator.

All persons being interviewed, including the parties, are prohibited from recording interviews.

In the event of a failure rendering an audio recording of an interview inaudible in whole or in part, the investigator will either reconstruct the interview with input from the interviewee or re-conduct the interview, as the investigator deems necessary. The reconstructed interview statement will become part of the investigative record. The failure will not constitute grounds for appeal.

22.4 Evidentiary Materials

The investigator will gather relevant available evidentiary materials, including physical evidence, documents, communications between the parties, and electronic records and media as appropriate. When the investigator requests evidence from a party or witness, they are expected to cooperate, consistent with the Duty to Cooperate (Section 13).

The parties will have the opportunity to request in writing the evidentiary materials they would like the investigator to seek to obtain. The investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance of any requested evidentiary materials, and accordingly the investigator will determine what evidentiary materials to seek to obtain.



In the event that irrelevant evidentiary materials are received during the investigation, the materials will be excluded from the investigative record. Those materials may become part of the appeal record in the event of an appeal (see “Appeal,” Section 26).

In general, the investigator will not consider relevant evidence which is offered solely for the purpose of providing evidence of a party’s character. Generally, the investigator will also not consider as relevant any evidence of a party or witness’s prior or concurrent disciplinary history.

22.5 Expert Testimony and Materials

If the investigator determines that expertise on a topic will assist the Hearing Panel in making its determinations, upon the investigator’s own initiative or at the request of a party, the investigator may include in the investigative record medical, forensics, technological, or other expert testimony and materials (such as writings and recordings) that the investigator deems relevant and reliable.

The investigator has the discretion to determine the relevance and reliability of any expert testimony and materials, and, accordingly, the investigator will determine what, if any, expert testimony and materials will be included in the investigative record.

Requested expert testimony or materials not included in the investigative record will not be considered by the Hearing Panel.

The results of polygraph tests and other “lie-detection” techniques will be excluded from the Investigative Record.

22.6 Evidence to be Excluded or Redacted from the Record

The parties and witnesses should make all requests for exclusions and redactions to the investigator during the investigation prior to the issuance of the final Investigative Record and Report. Content falling into one of the four categories below can be redacted or excluded.

1. Prior Sexual History: Generally, during both the investigation and any hearing to determine responsibility, participants in this process may exclude evidence of their own prior sexual history with anyone other than the other party.
2. Past Findings: During both the investigation and any hearing to determine responsibility, participants in this process may exclude evidence of their own past school disciplinary findings if such findings, as determined by the investigator, are irrelevant to the alleged prohibited conduct. All such findings, whether or not relevant to the alleged prohibited conduct, are admissible at the stage of the hearing for determining sanctions.
3. Mental Health Condition, Treatment and/or Diagnosis: Generally, during both the investigation and any hearing to determine responsibility, participants in this process may



exclude evidence of their own mental health diagnosis and/or treatment.

4. Sensitive Personal Identifying Information and Medical Records: Throughout these proceedings, sensitive personal identifying information, such as Social Security numbers and irrelevant information contained in medical records, will be excluded. Upon the request of a party or witness, investigators may also redact or exclude other sensitive or private information, including, but not limited to: contact information and sensitive images (including images where an individual is nude or partially clothed).

In addition, there may be other information that is excluded or redacted under applicable law or these procedures. For example, information protected by legally recognized privilege would be excluded unless the privilege is waived.

Any necessary exclusions or redactions are made by the investigator during the investigation and the Hearing Chair during the hearing process, which includes both content elicited at the Hearing as well as content in the investigative record.

Excluded or redacted content not included in the Investigative Record will not be considered by the Hearing Panel.

22.7 Draft Investigative Record and the Parties' Review

Upon completion of the investigation, the investigator will prepare and provide to the parties and their advisors a copy of a draft Investigative Record that will include:

- transcripts (but not audio files) of all interviews by the investigator with the parties and any witnesses; and
- copies of any relevant documents, electronic records, media, and photographs or descriptions of physical materials collected during the course of the investigation.

As part of the investigative process, both parties have an opportunity to review and comment upon the draft Investigative Record before the investigator finalizes it and issues the Investigative Report. The scope of this review is narrow; the parties' responses should not include arguments about why the Hearing Panel should find in their favor, these arguments are more appropriately made as part of the pre-hearing submissions (opening statement, request(s) to call witness(es) at Hearing) (See Section 25.7).

The parties will have ten (10) business days to review the draft investigative record and submit in writing:

- comments about content such as factual inaccuracies in the Investigative Record. A party's comments may not add content;
- requests for redaction;
- requests for inclusion of content deemed irrelevant or duplicative by the investigator; and
- requests for the investigator to conduct further investigation or questioning.



The parties' written comments and requests will become part of the final Investigative Record, except to the extent content is deemed subject to exclusion or redaction pursuant to these Procedures. The investigator has discretion whether to conduct any additional requested meetings, interviews, or questioning. The investigator will consider all written comments to the evidentiary material prior to finalizing the Investigative Report.

22.8 Final Investigative Record and Investigative Report

The Investigative Record is a compilation of investigative interviews, evidentiary materials, and expert testimony and materials, if any.

The Investigative Report is not evidence. In the report, the investigator will explain the scope of the investigation and summarize the information gathered during the investigation. At their discretion, the investigator may identify contested and uncontested facts, highlight inconsistencies, and address relevancy of evidence. The investigator will not render an opinion on responsibility.

The final Investigative Record and final Investigative Report will be provided to the parties, their advisors, and the Hearing Panel within fifteen (15) business days of the receipt of the parties' comments on the draft Investigative Record, and at least ten (10) days prior to any Hearing. This time-period may be subject to reasonable extension on a case-by-case basis for good cause, with notice to the parties that includes the reason for the delay.

22.9 Notice of Hearing

At the completion of an investigation, if a case is referred to a Hearing Panel for a hearing, a Notice of Hearing will be sent to the parties as part of the final Investigative Report. The notice will include the charges at issue; a brief summary of the alleged prohibited conduct; the Hearing Process that will be used; and, if determined, the date, time, and place of the Hearing; the name of the Hearing Chair; and the Hearing Panel members.

If the notice does not include the name of the Hearing Chair, Hearing Panel members, or date, time, and location of the Hearing, the parties will be so notified, in writing, at a later time, prior to the Hearing.

All efforts will be made to provide the Notice of Hearing no later than seven (7) business days prior to the Hearing and to schedule the Hearing as soon as practicable.



23 THE PARTIES' PARTICIPATION IN THE INVESTIGATION AND HEARING

Both the Complainant and the Respondent may decline to participate in the investigation and/or Hearing. The University may continue without a party's participation, reaching findings and issuing sanctions. Additionally, a party's decision not to participate in the investigation will limit the party's ability to participate in the Hearing, as explained below.

23.1 Declining to Participate in the Investigation

If a party declines to participate in investigative interviews deemed necessary by the investigator, the party will forfeit the opportunity at the Hearing to give a written opening statement, testify, and give oral and written closing statements.

Nonetheless, if a party who has declined to participate in investigative interviews later seeks to participate in a Hearing, upon a finding that there was a compelling reason for the nonparticipation, the Hearing Chair, upon the Chair's discretion, may permit the party to participate. If the Hearing Chair permits the party to participate in the Hearing, the Hearing Chair will first reschedule or adjourn the Hearing for the investigator to interview the party and, as necessary, conduct any follow-up investigation and supplement and revise the investigative record and report. The Hearing Chair will also re-open the pre-hearing submission process, if appropriate, so that the parties may respond to the new information.

23.2 Declining to Attend or Participate in the Hearing

Neither party is required to attend a Hearing for the Hearing to proceed. The party's advisor may question any witnesses and object to testimony. If, despite being notified of the date, time, and location of the Hearing, either party is not in attendance, the Hearing may proceed, findings of responsibility may be made, and sanctions may be imposed.

The parties are not required to testify at a Hearing and the Hearing Panel will not draw a negative inference or inference about responsibility based on a party's silence, refusal to answer questions, or absence from the Hearing.

However, where a party declines to testify or answer approved questions asked of them, the Hearing Panel's ability to hear information necessary to make an informed decision in that party's favor may be limited.



24 ALTERNATE RESOLUTION OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT

At any time after a Formal Complaint has been filed and before a Hearing commences, the parties may seek to resolve a report of prohibited conduct through Alternate Resolution. The Alternate Resolution process must be completed within thirty (30) business days of the date that both parties agree to participate in the Alternate Resolution.

Participation in Alternate Resolution is entirely voluntary; the Title IX Coordinator will neither pressure nor compel either party to participate in the process or to agree to any specific terms.

In every case, the Title IX Coordinator has discretion to determine whether the matter is appropriate for Alternate Resolution and to determine the appropriate terms.

The Title IX Coordinator may consult with the dean, unit head, or provost (in the case of a faculty respondent) or the VPCHRO (in the case of a staff respondent).

Before the Title IX Coordinator approves the Alternate Resolution process or the terms of any Alternate Resolution, the Title IX Coordinator will determine that they have sufficient information about the matter to make these decisions.

The parties are strongly encouraged, although not required, to consult with their advisors and any support persons during the Alternate Resolution process.

If the process is terminated for any reason, the matter will be returned to resolution by investigation and/or Hearing. For this reason, the investigator will not participate in Alternate Resolution.

The Title IX Coordinator will oversee the Alternate Resolution process and have access to all University records in the matter, including any records or reports prepared during an investigation.

The Title IX Coordinator will consult separately with both parties and may recommend to the parties the terms of a potential Alternate Resolution agreement. Such terms may include, but are not limited to, any sanctions or remedies that could be imposed by a Hearing Panel after a Hearing under these proceedings.

Both parties must agree to the terms before an Alternate Resolution agreement becomes effective.

At any time before a written agreement is effective, the Complainant or the Respondent may withdraw from the Alternate Resolution process, and the Title IX Coordinator may also, at their discretion, terminate the process.

If both parties are satisfied with the Title IX Coordinator's recommendation, the matter will be resolved with a written agreement.



The Title IX Coordinator will provide each party, separately, with a copy of the proposed agreement for the party to review, sign, and return.

Once both parties have returned the signed agreement to the Title IX Coordinator, the matter is final. The parties may not appeal the agreement and the Complainant may not seek to refile the Formal Complaint absent new allegations of misconduct. The parties are expected to honor and comply with the terms of the Alternate Resolution. Noncompliance may be subject to action by Human Resources.

If the process is terminated and the matter resolved pursuant to the Formal Complaint resolution process, neither the Title IX Coordinator nor the parties will disclose to the Hearing Chair, Hearing Panel, or Appeal Panel either the fact that the parties had participated in the Alternate Resolution process or any information learned during the process.

While the parties are exploring Alternate Resolution, the investigation will pause, and the time spent pursuing resolution in this way will not count toward the investigation time limit.

A copy of the Alternate Resolution will be provided to the Respondent's unit or college and placed in the Respondent's personnel file.

If the Respondent also is a student and agrees to an Alternate Resolution that provides for a suspension, withdrawal, or dismissal (i.e., expulsion) from the University, there will be a transcript notation consistent with University policy.

25 HEARING

25.1 Overview of Hearing Process

Findings of responsibility and determinations regarding sanctions and remedies are made through a hearing process conducted by a three (3) member Hearing Panel and a non-voting Hearing Chair.

The Hearing is intended to provide the parties with a fair opportunity to present relevant information to the Hearing Panel and enable the Hearing Panel to make informed decisions regarding responsibility and sanctions/remedies.

The parties may provide brief written opening statements and oral and written closing statements and to testify.

Through a pre-hearing submission process explained below, the parties may propose questions/topics for those testifying.



The parties may submit a written or recorded Impact/Mitigation Statement at any time prior to the start of the Hearing.

The parties may not directly address each other during the Hearing.

25.2 Presumption of Non-Responsibility, Burden of Proof, and Standard of Proof

The Respondent will be presumed “not responsible” unless and until a Hearing Panel determines the Respondent is responsible. The University bears the burden of proof and the burden of gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination regarding responsibility.

The Hearing Panel will determine whether the Respondent is responsible by a majority vote using a preponderance of the evidence standard. This means that to find the Respondent responsible for any Prohibited Conduct, a majority of the Hearing Panel must be satisfied, based upon the Hearing Record, that it is more likely than not that the Respondent committed all of the elements of the alleged Prohibited Conduct. If the Hearing Panel does not find the Respondent responsible for any Prohibited Conduct, it will dismiss the case. If the Hearing Panel finds that the Respondent is responsible under Policy 6.4, it will consider appropriate sanctions and remedies.

25.3 Positions of Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair

The Hearing Panel will include faculty and staff members selected through an appropriate process established by the University. In a case involving a faculty member Respondent, panel members from the faculty will comprise the majority of the panel members. In a case involving a staff member Respondent, staff panel members will comprise the majority of the panel members.

The position of Hearing Chair will be filled through an appropriate process established by the University. The Hearing Chair and Hearing Panel members will receive annual training as required by law.

The Hearing Chair will provide guidance to the Hearing Panels and serve as a gatekeeper by making evidentiary and procedural rulings prior to and during the hearing.

The Hearing Chair will draft the Hearing Panel decision, reflecting the Hearing Panel’s findings of fact and rationales for their determinations regarding both responsibility and sanctions and remedies. The Hearing Chair will obtain the Hearing Panel’s approval before issuing a written decision.

Given this significant role, the Hearing Chair is non-voting.



25.4 Hearing Chair or Hearing Panel Member Conflict of Interest

Upon receipt of written notice of the names of the Hearing Chair and Hearing Panel members, if a party believes that they have a potential conflict of interest with either a Hearing Panel member or Hearing Chair, the party should notify the Title IX Coordinator, who will forward the notification to the Hearing Chair. The notification must be in writing, made within two (2) business days of the notice, and include facts substantiating the claim of conflict. The Hearing Chair has discretion whether to remove a member of the Hearing Panel or to recuse themselves.

25.5 Request to Reschedule Hearing

Either party may request to have a hearing rescheduled. The request may be granted at the discretion of the Hearing Chair. Absent extenuating circumstances, requests to reschedule must be submitted at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing. A request to reschedule the hearing must be supported by a compelling reason. Given the number of individuals involved in a hearing, and the attendant difficulty of scheduling and rescheduling them in a timely manner, it may not be possible to accommodate all scheduling requests. The Hearing Chair may also reschedule a hearing, without a request by the parties, when there is reasonable cause to do so.

25.6 Newly Discovered Evidence

If, after the issuance of the final Investigative Record and Investigative Report and prior to the issuance of the Hearing Panel decision, a party or the investigator seek to present a witness or introduce evidence not requested prior to the Hearing and not disclosed to the investigator, the Hearing Chair may grant admission of the evidence such request upon a showing that the witness or evidence is relevant, material, newly discovered, and could not have been discovered during the investigation with due diligence.

Where a Hearing Chair permits a party to introduce a newly discovered witness or evidence, the Hearing Chair may reschedule or adjourn the Hearing for the investigator to investigate the newly discovered witness or evidence.

The Hearing Chair may also re-open the pre-hearing submission process, as appropriate, so that the parties may respond to the new information.

25.7 Pre-Hearing Submissions by the Parties

Prior to a Hearing, the parties will be asked in writing by the Title IX Coordinator to make certain decisions and requests regarding the conduct of the Hearing. This process is designed to ensure that the Hearing is conducted in as equitable, respectful, and efficient a manner as possible.



All Pre-Hearing Submissions are optional but waived if not completed by the stated deadlines, including any approved extensions.

The Hearing Chair has the authority to redact or reject Pre-Hearing Submissions that do not comply with the Procedures or contain irrelevant or impermissible content.

Prior to the Hearing, the Title IX Coordinator will distribute each party's Pre-Hearing Submissions to the other party for their review.

25.7.1 Pre-Hearing Submission – Written Opening Statements and Witness Requests

Upon providing the parties with copies of the final Investigative Record and Report, the Title IX Coordinator will instruct the parties, in writing, that they have the opportunity to prepare a written opening statement and submit a written list of proposed witnesses.

The parties will be given five (5) business days for such submissions.

Within the five (5) business days, the parties may request extensions that may be granted, if reasonable, at the discretion of the Hearing Chair. Any extension granted to one party will be granted to the other party. Delays simply to prolong the process will not be permitted.

Written Opening Statements

The purpose of the opening statement is for each party to respond to the Investigative Report (which is not evidence) and Record and to explain to the Hearing Panel why it should find in the party's favor. The parties may not add or address information not contained in the investigative record before the Hearing Panel (as the Hearing Panel will not consider new information), nor may the parties address issues that pertain to sanctions and remedies. The Hearing Panel does not consider these issues when determining responsibility. The parties may appropriately raise such issues in their Impact/Mitigation Statements.

The parties should include specific page citations to the final Investigative Record. These statements must be signed by the parties. The word limit is 2500 words.

Witness Requests

All interview statements contained in the final Investigative Record become part of the Hearing Record and are before the Hearing Panel. If a party wants the Hearing Panel to hear directly from a witness, the party must submit a written request within five (5) business days. The parties may request only witnesses who were interviewed by the investigator during the investigative process. Such a request should include:



1. The name of proposed part(ies) or witness(es).
2. For each proposed party or witness, an explanation of why the individual's presence is relevant and helpful to the Hearing Panel in determining responsibility. For example, the party should explain why in-person testimony is needed in addition to the interview statement in the investigative record.

The Hearing Chair and Hearing Panel will review the parties' requests. After consultation with the Hearing Panel, the Hearing Chair will rule on the parties' requests and shall grant reasonable requests that will not unduly burden the hearing process with duplicative or unnecessary cumulative testimony.

The Hearing Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, may call witnesses not requested by the parties.

25.7.2 Impact/Mitigation Statement

The parties will be permitted, but not required, to prepare a written or recorded Impact/Mitigation Statement relevant to any sanctions. The parties may submit the statement until the start of the Hearing. The statements are distributed to the Hearing Panel and the parties only if the Hearing Panel finds the Respondent responsible. The Title IX Coordinator will provide the Impact/Mitigation Statements to the parties with a copy of the Hearing Panel's written decision.

25.8 Information on Respondent's Prior Discipline to Hearing Panel

Human Resources shall review and provide information to the Hearing Chair concerning: 1) respondent's prior relevant disciplinary record; 2) the Respondent's relevant discipline record from another employer (if known); and/or 3) any relevant criminal conviction. This information will be disclosed to the Hearing Panel only if the Hearing Panel makes a finding of responsibility for Prohibited Conduct and exclusively for use in determining sanctions.

25.9 Hearing Process and Format

25.9.1 Overview of Hearing Process and Format

All hearings will be private. The only persons present will be the parties, their advisor and support person, witnesses (when testifying), the Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair, the investigator, and any COCR staff necessary to conduct the hearing.

Hearings will be held via Zoom (or via an alternate online meeting software). The parties may be physically located in the same place as their advisor and/or support person. The parties will never



be in the same room as each other.

Witnesses may be present only for their own testimony.

The Hearing Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, may establish reasonable time limits, rules, and format, providing the parties with equal opportunities to participate. The Hearing Chair may adjourn the Hearing, once commenced, and later reconvene the Hearing in consideration of factors including, but not limited to, the unavailability of a witness, party, Hearing Chair, Hearing Panel member, or needed personnel; inclement weather; or in order to make an evidentiary or procedural ruling.

The Hearing Chair may also declare a “mishearing” if the process has become irreparably tainted (e.g., prejudicial conduct by an advisor, circumstances during a hearing rendering a panelist unsuitable for the panel, etc.).

Formal rules of evidence do not apply.

Evidence that was excluded or redacted from the investigative record as impermissible under these procedures or applicable law will not be admissible at the Hearing.

Typically, the format of the Hearing will be as follows:

- Introduction by the Hearing Chair. The Hearing Chair will explain the hearing process, address any necessary procedural issues, and answer questions.
- Testimony by the Complainant.
- Testimony by the Respondent.
- Testimony by any witnesses.
- Closing statements by the Complainant followed by the Respondent.

The Hearing Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, has the discretion to recall a witness for additional testimony.

25.9.2 Testimony and Cross-Examination

Testimony is conducted through a question-and-answer format.

The Hearing Chair will ask each person questioned to affirm that they will testify truthfully.

Both the Complainant and the Respondent may testify or decline to testify and may make their election when their turn to testify arises.

During the Hearing, if a party proposes a witness not on the witness list, but interviewed by the investigator, the Hearing Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, may grant the request



where the necessity for such could not have been reasonably anticipated in advance. The Hearing Chair, in consultation with the Hearing Panel, reserves the right to call a witness not on the witness list but previously interviewed by the investigator. In such case, the parties will be given time to ask or propose questions for the witness.

Only relevant cross-examination and other questions may be asked of a party or witness. Questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged by the Complainant, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Each party's advisor is permitted to ask the parties and any witnesses all relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility.

Before a Complainant, Respondent, or witness responds to a question by a party's advisor, the Hearing Chair must first determine whether the question is relevant and explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant.

If the Complainant chooses to testify, the Complainant will testify first. Any questioning of the Complainant will be conducted in this order: Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair, Complainant's advisor, then Respondent's advisor. After a break, the Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair may conduct any follow-up questioning.

If the Respondent chooses to testify, the Respondent will testify next. Any questioning of the Respondent will be conducted in this order: Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair, Respondent's advisor, then Complainant's advisor. After a break, the Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair may conduct any follow up questioning.

Any non-party witnesses will testify after any testimony from the parties and in an order that the Hearing Chair deems appropriate. Any questioning of non-party witnesses will be conducted in this order: Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair, Complainant's advisor, and then Respondent's advisor. After a break, the Hearing Panel and Hearing Chair may conduct any follow-up questioning.

25.10 Closing Statements

The parties may provide both oral and written closing statements. A closing statement is an opportunity for the parties to summarize the evidence in the Hearing Record and suggest inferences and conclusions.

The parties may not add or address information not contained in the Hearing Record, as the



Hearing Panel will not consider new information. Nor may the parties address issues that pertain to sanctions and remedies. The Hearing Panel does not consider these issues when determining responsibility. The parties may appropriately raise such issues in their Impact/Mitigation Statements.

The Hearing Chair will establish a time limit for brief oral closing statements, typically around five (5) minutes.

The Hearing Chair will also set the schedule for submission of written closing statements. The parties should assume that deliberations will commence immediately following the Hearing, in which case the parties will be expected to submit written closing statements shortly after the oral closing statements. If there is an adjournment for deliberations, the Hearing Chair may provide the parties with limited additional time to submit their statements.

Each party's written statement will be limited to 2000 words and to the evidence contained in the Investigative Record and Hearing. The written statements will be distributed to the other party, Hearing Chair, and Hearing Panel for their review.

These statements must be signed by the parties.

25.11 Deliberations on Findings of Responsibility

After closing arguments, the Hearing Panel may begin its deliberations. Deliberations will be completed as expeditiously as possible.

Deliberations will be private and they will not be audio-recorded. The Hearing Chair may participate in deliberations but does not vote. The Hearing Panel will make its decision based upon a majority vote.

25.12 Deliberations on Sanctions and Remedies

If a Hearing Panel finds the Respondent responsible, it will continue its deliberations to consider sanctions and remedies and will issue its findings on responsibility and sanctions/remedies simultaneously.

Prior to deliberating on sanctions and remedies, the Hearing Chair will distribute to the Hearing Panel any written or recorded Impact/Mitigation Statements previously submitted by the parties, subject to any redactions required by law. If the Respondent has a Cornell non-academic disciplinary record, a known non-academic disciplinary record from another institution, or a known criminal conviction, prior to deliberating on sanctions and remedies, the Hearing Chair will also distribute to the Hearing Panel a copy of such disciplinary and/or criminal records. (Where an educational record, including a Cornell disciplinary record, is being considered solely for sanctions,



it will not be shared with the Complainant.)

Deliberations will be private and they will not be audio-recorded. The Hearing Chair may participate in deliberations but does not vote. The Hearing Panel will make its decision based upon a majority vote.

In determining sanctions and remedies, the Hearing Panel will consider:

- the severity of the prohibited conduct;
- the circumstances of the prohibited conduct;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the complainant;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the community;
- the impact of the prohibited conduct and sanctions and remedies on the respondent;
- prior discipline related to sexual misconduct by the respondent and any criminal convictions related to sexual misconduct;
- the goals of Policy 6.4 and these procedures; and
- any other mitigating, aggravating, or compelling factors.

The Hearing Panel may impose one or more of the following sanctions and remedies:

- Measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to the University's educational program or activity, similar in kind to the Supportive Measures specified under these procedures.
- Appropriate educational steps (such as alcohol or drug education, counseling);
- Restrictions or loss of specified privileges at the University for a specified period of time;
- Oral warning;
- Written discipline;
- Disciplinary probation for a stated period;
- Demotion*;
- Removal from administrative or other position held in addition to primary position;
- Salary reduction or other monetary penalty;
- Unpaid suspension of employment*; and
- Termination of employment*.

The Hearing Panel may also recommend to the Title IX Coordinator that the University take measures on campus to remedy the effect or prevent the reoccurrence of such prohibited conduct. Sanctions and remedies will be effective at the conclusion of any Appeal, or at 10 business days after the Hearing Panel decision, if neither party appeals.

**** For a faculty Respondent, the panel will impose a sanction of mandatory referral to the trustee suspension/dismissal procedures for the specific sanction.***



25.13 Hearing Panel Decision

The Hearing Panel will issue a written decision as expeditiously as possible upon completion of deliberations, and generally within thirty (30) business days from the final date of deliberations. The Title IX Coordinator will provide the written decision to the parties simultaneously and as soon as practicable.

The decision will include:

- the Procedural steps taken during the investigation,
- the specific Prohibited Conduct for which the Respondent was found responsible and not responsible,
- the findings of fact and the rationale for the Hearing Panel's determinations regarding both responsibility and sanctions. The Hearing Chair, on behalf of the Hearing Panel, must consult with the head of the respondent's college or unit with respect to sanctions and remedies. The information provided to the Hearing Chair must be conveyed to the Hearing Panel before it imposes sanctions and remedies,
- whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to Cornell's education program or activity will be provided by Cornell to the Complainant,
- any dissenting opinion,
- sanctions and remedies if the Respondent is found responsible, and
- instructions and time limits for appeals.

The decision may incorporate and reference any portions of the proceedings, including the Investigative Record and Report, as the Hearing Panel deems appropriate.

Both the Complainant and the Respondent will be informed simultaneously of any sanctions and remedies, the date by which the requirements must be satisfied (if applicable), and the consequences of failure to satisfy the requirements.

After the Hearing Chair and Hearing Panel receive notification that the appeal process is completed, the Hearing Chair and Hearing Panel members will securely dispose of any notes they took during the hearing.

25.14 Hearing Record

An audio recording will be made of all hearings, but not of deliberations. The parties may listen to the audio recording of the Hearing during business hours at a secure and private campus location with access facilitated by the Title IX Coordinator. As determined necessary in the discretion of the Title IX Coordinator (e.g., while in remote learning and work environments) and subject to restrictions, the audio recording may be provided to the parties to listen to at a secure and private non-campus location.



In the event of any failure rendering the audio recording of the hearing inaudible in whole or in part, the record will be recreated as necessary, whether in its entirety or for any inaudible portions, with input from the parties, any witnesses whose testimony is at issue, the Hearing Panel, and Hearing Chair. Such failure will not constitute grounds for appeal.

Individuals appearing before the Hearing Panel, whether as a party or witness, are prohibited from recording any portion of the hearing.

Hearing Panel members are also prohibited from recording any portion of the hearing.

The Hearing Panel has access to the hearing record. The Hearing record will include: the audio recording and written transcript of the Hearing, the Hearing Panel's decision, the final Investigative Record and report, the parties' pre-hearing submissions, the written witness list, written opening and closing statements, written submissions permitted by these Procedures made to the Hearing Chair or during the Hearing, and if there is a determination of responsibility, the parties' Impact/Mitigation Statements, and information concerning the Respondent's prior misconduct.

26 APPEAL OF A HEARING PANEL DECISION

Both the Party-Complainant and the Respondent may appeal the Hearing Panel decision. A Non-Party Complainant may not appeal the decision of the Hearing Panel.

All appeals will be heard by a three (3) member Appeal Panel that includes the Provost, the Vice President for Student and Campus Life and the Vice President for Human Resources and Chief Human Resources Officer.

Upon receipt of written notice of the names of the Appeal Panel members, if a party believes that they have a potential conflict of interest with an Appeal Panel member, the party should notify the Title IX Coordinator, who will forward the notification to the Appeal Panelist(s). The notification must be in writing, made within two (2) business days of the notice, and include facts substantiating the claim of conflict. The Appeal Panelist(s) has discretion whether to recuse themselves.

All appeals will be based solely upon the Appeal record.

The appeal record will consist of two parts: the Hearing record and supplemental materials. The supplemental materials may include the written appeal and the following to the extent that they exist: a written response to the appeal, content deemed irrelevant or duplicative by the investigator, evidentiary materials excluded or redacted from the Investigative Record, newly discovered evidence, impact/mitigation statements, and information concerning the Respondent's prior misconduct. The various supplemental materials will only be reviewed by the Appeal Panel as needed, including when relevant to the grounds for the Appeal, if the Appeal Panel reverses a finding of not responsible, or is considering the appropriateness of the sanctions or remedies.



Appeals may be brought only upon one or more of the following grounds:

1. The sanctions or remedies are not commensurate with the injury/violation or are unjust.
2. A University official or officials, including the Hearing Panel, assigned responsibility for performing specific functions by these Procedures, violated the fair application of relevant University procedures, and such violation may have had a prejudicial effect upon the outcome. This includes conflict of interest or bias.
3. A University official or officials, including the Hearing Panel, assigned responsibility for performing specific functions by these procedures, committed an error in interpreting Policy 6.4, these procedures, and such error may have had a prejudicial effect upon the outcome. This includes determinations of jurisdiction and determinations of Hearing Process.
4. The Hearing Panel rendered a decision that is clearly erroneous.
5. New evidence was discovered after the Hearing Panel's decision that could not have readily been discovered before the decision and that would have probably changed the outcome.

A party may commence an appeal by submitting a written statement to the Title IX Coordinator within five (5) business days of issuance of the Hearing Panel's decision.

The appeal statement must set forth:

- the determination(s) being appealed,
- the specific ground(s) for the appeal, and
- the facts supporting the grounds.

The appeal statement will be limited to 3500 words.

Failure to submit an appeal within the five (5) business days or any approved extension constitutes waiver of the right to appeal.

Within five (5) business days, the appealing party may request an extension of time by submitting a request to the Title IX Coordinator explaining the reason(s) for the request. The Appeal Panel will have discretion to grant such a request upon a finding of good cause for the delay.

A copy of the appeal statement will be provided to the other party, who, within five (5) business days may submit a written response to the Title IX Coordinator. The response should address both the specific ground(s) for appeal set forth in the appealing party's statement and the specific facts asserted by the appealing party. The response will be limited to 2500 words.

The Appeal Panel will establish a reasonable schedule for issuing a written decision, typically no later than thirty (30) business days after receipt of the non-appealing party's submission or the time for submission has expired.

The decision of the Appeal Panel will be final and binding on all parties.



The decision must be by a majority vote of the Appeal Panel and will include the rationale and any dissenting opinion.

Findings of fact will not be set aside unless clearly erroneous. Harmless error will be ignored.

The Appeal Panel may affirm the decision of the Hearing Panel or sustain any of the above-specified grounds for appeal, in which case the Appeal Panel may:

- reverse a finding;
- change a sanction or remedy;
- remand a case to the original Hearing Panel for clarification or reconsideration consistent with the Appeal Panel's decision, if doing so would assist with a timely, practicable, and efficient resolution of the case;
- remand a case for a new hearing to either the original Hearing Panel or a newly composed Hearing Panel; or remand a case for a new or additional investigation, followed by an adjudication consistent with these procedures, to either the original investigator or to a new investigator.

If the Appeal Panel reverses a finding of not responsible, the Appeal Panel must remand the case to the original Hearing Panel for sanctioning. If the Impact/Mitigation Statements have not previously been distributed, they would be distributed to both parties only upon a finding of responsibility, and, in that instance, when the Title IX Coordinator distributes the Appeal Panel's written decision to the parties.

If the Appeal Panel reverses a finding of not responsible, the case is remanded to a new Hearing Panel for determination of sanctions based on the existing Hearing Record.

The parties may exercise their rights to appeal the new Hearing Panel's sanctions consistent with this section.

If the Appeal Panel calls for the admission of new evidence, if possible, it will remand the case to the Hearing Panel from which it originated for a new hearing.

Upon remand from the Appeal Panel, as necessary and possible, a Hearing Panel may remand a case to the investigator from which it originated for further investigation.

The Appeal Panel decision is the final determination of the matter by the University and is not subject to any additional review, appeal or grievance or any other internal University mechanisms.⁹ This procedure specifically eliminates recourse to Trustee, college and AFPS committee grievance processes. However, nothing in these procedures abrogates post-adjudication rights as provided by state and federal law.



27 OUTCOME LETTER UPON DISMISSAL OF A FORMAL COMPLAINT OR FINDING OF NON-RESPONSIBILITY

Upon completion of all proceedings, including any appeals, if a Formal Complaint has been dismissed and/or when a Respondent has been found not-responsible, where appropriate, the Title IX Coordinator may provide an outcome letter to the Respondent that they can share, as needed. The outcome letter will not contain identifying information about the Complainant. To the extent permissible by law and University policy, the Title IX Coordinator may also take such steps as deleting records and, unless the respondent prefers otherwise, notifying persons who participated in the proceedings of the dismissal and/or making a public announcement of the outcome.

